#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 424 501 CG 028 780

AUTHOR Soley, Georgia; Hooper, Yvonne; Marshall, Renee; Chambliss,

Catherine

TITLE Educating New Therapists about Differences between Parents'

and Therapists' Expectations of Child Psychotherapy.

PUB DATE 1999-00-00

NOTE 14p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Behavior Change; Child Behavior; Children; Counselor

Attitudes; Counselor Training; \*Expectation; Normalization (Disabilities); \*Outcomes of Treatment; Parent Attitudes;

\*Psychotherapy; Termination of Treatment

#### **ABSTRACT**

Understanding why parents seek psychotherapy for their children is important for new therapists wishing to optimize the treatment process and outcomes. The development of an effective partnership with the child client's parents seems to be critical to treatment success although little research has explored the congruence of expectations between parents and therapists. Premature termination of therapy has been found to relate to differences in goal and outcome expectations between therapists and family members of clients. In the present study, responses of therapists and parents were compared using a between-subjects design in order to determine differences between these groups in terms of the way they conceptualize the objectives of therapy. Between-group t-tests of a sample of 67 parents and 12 therapists revealed significant differences relating to the objectives of normalization and behavior change. Therapists emphasize the importance of behavior change, while parents view normalization as more crucial than behavior change. Results are presented and discussed. Contains 15 references. (Author/EMK)



### Educating New Therapists about Differences Between Parents' and Therapists' Expectations of Child Psychotherapy

Georgia Soley

Yvonne Hooper

Renee Marshall

Catherine Chambliss, Ph.D.

Ursinus College

1999

## BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating.
   Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

HAMBUSS

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES 2INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



#### Abstract

Prior research has indicated that premature termination of therapy is sometimes due to a conflict in goal and outcome expectations between therapists and family members of clients. Soley, Marshall & Chambliss (1998) compared the treatment expectations of therapists and parents of child clients, and using a within-subjects design found some incongruities. In the present study, responses of therapists and parents were compared using a between-subjects design, in order to determine differences between these groups in terms of the way they conceptualize the objectives of psychotherapy. Between group t-tests of a sample of 67 parents and 12 therapists revealed significant differences relating to the objectives of normalization and behavior change. Therapists emphasize the importance of behavior change, while parents view normalization as more crucial than behavioral change.

#### Introduction

Understanding why parents seek psychotherapy for their children is important for new therapists wishing to optimize the treatment process (Sorenson, Kantor, Margolis, & Galano, 1979; Spaniol, Jung, Zipple & Fitzgerald, 1987; Woodard, Santa-Barbara, Levin & Epstein,1978; Williams, 1994; Wierzbicki & Pekarik, 1993; Weisz, Weiss & Langmeyer, 1987). Consumer satisfaction is increasingly being emphasized in behavioral healthcare (Sorenson, Kantor, Margolis, & Galano, 1979). It is particularly relevant to the process of psychotherapy with children (Spaniol, Jung, Zipple, & Fitzgerald, 1987). Since



continuation of care with children is usually very dependent on the parents' motivation to make such treatment available, therapy that fails to meet the goals of parents is likely to result in premature termination (Byalin, 1993; Byalin, 1990; Casey & Berman, 1985; Gould, Shaffer & Kaplan, 1985; Gould, Shaffer & Kaplan, 1985; Goyne & Ladoux, 1973). In addition, effective treatment of children often involves collaborating with parents in developing more optimal environments within which children can thrive. The development of an effective partnership with the child client's parents therefore becomes critical to treatment success. While most would agree that a consensus on treatment objectives between therapists and parents of child clients is very important, little research has explored the extent to which parental expectations are in fact congruent with those of therapists (Weiss, Rabinowitz & Spiro, 1996). Soley, Marshall & Chambliss (1998) found that both therapists and parents emphasized the importance of behavior change over enhanced understanding, emotional change, assistance with decision-making, and provision of normalizing messages. However, this study's use of a within-subjects design did not permit a clear comparison between the therapist and parent groups surveyed. The present study used a between-subjects approach in order to assess these group differences.

#### Method

Therapists from a variety of local treatment centers were asked to record their responses on a questionnaire with 29 (Likert-format) items concerning parent's attitudes when bringing their child to therapy (See appendix A). The



therapists were asked to answer the questions as they believed a parent would answer it when bringing his or her child to therapy.

A sample of parents, solicited from child treatment sites, were asked to complete the same questionnaire as the therapists. Additional participating parents were contacted at a local fast food restaurant on the weekend while they were watching their children play in the play area.

A separate demographic questionnaire was provided for the therapists and for the parents of prospective and actual clients. The therapist's demographic questionnaire consisted of 8 items, while the parent's demographic questionnaire consisted of 6 items. All respondents were assured of anonymity. Responses were obtained from 12 therapists, consisting of 7 males and 5 females, and 67 parents, consisting of 44 females and 22 males. The mean age of the therapists was 40.92 years, with an average of 14.42 years of experience in the mental health care field. The mean age of the participating parents was 39.45 years. Approximately 67% of the therapists and 80% of the parents contacted actually completed the questionnaire. Both the therapists and the parents were from the suburbs of Philadelphia.

Thirty percent of the participating parents reported actually having a child in therapy. The remaining parents answered the questionnaire hypothetically, based on what their expectations would be if their child ever needed therapy.



#### Results

Relevant items were totaled for each subject, yielding five sub-scale scores assessing the treatment objectives: Normalization, Analysis, Decision-making, Behavior Change, and Affect Change.

Between group t-tests were used to compare item and sub-scale scores of therapists and parents. Significant differences emerged on three of the 25 items. Therapists perceived improving relating to others and handling situations more competently as more important than parents. Parents wanted their children to find out if they had a serious problem more so than the therapists expected.

Significant differences were also found on the normalization and behavior change sub-scales. Therapists perceived behavior change as more of a priority than parents. On the other hand, parents rated normalization more highly than the therapists (see tables).

#### Discussion

Overall, goals were similar for both therapists and parents with the exception of normalization and behavior change. This leads to the conclusion that therapists may take a broader approach to counseling and may view concrete behavioral changes as indicative of improvement. Conversely, the parent seems to understand the child's imminent need to fit in with others and wants the child to feel "normal." The parent believes if the child is back to normal, all other behavioral changes will fall into place. This difference in perspective would be expected given the broad background of training for therapists compared to a



parent who may look for the quickest way to get their child back to full mental and physical health.

Future studies are needed with a larger sample of therapists and parents of children who are actual, rather than prospective clients. Few of the parents who completed questionnaires (30%) had actual first-hand experience with therapy. In addition, results from this study analyzed pre-treatment expectations; future studies will need to evaluate the same sample for pre and post-treatment outcomes.



Table 1
Responses regarding expectations of treatment for a child client

Trooponous Togarania expediation		101 0 011110 011		
	Ther	Therapist Parent		ent
	M SD		М	SD
Find out if child has a serious problem*	1.82	1.08	2.70	1.18
Learn to be more effective in relating to				
others**	3.91	.30	3.43	.73
Learn to handle situations more	3.91	.30	3.37	.70
competently*				
* p ≤ .03				
** p ≤ .05				



Table 2
Responses regarding categorical treatment objectives for a child client

	Thera	Therapist		ent
	М	SD	M	SD
Normalization Sub-scale**	12.00	3.63	14.31	3.34
Behavior Change Sub-scale*	17.91	1.64	16.38	2.73
* p≤.03				
** p ≤ .05				



## Expectations of Child Psychotherapy

Table 3
Sub-scale scores of therapists regarding treatment objectives for child clients

	M	SD
Normalization	12.00	3.63
Analysis	12.82 15.00	4.05 3.92
Decision-making Affect Change	16.09	3.48 1.64
Behavior Change	17.91	1.04



Table 4
Sub-scale scores of parents regarding treatment objectives for their child

M	SD
14.31	3.34
14.33	3.78
15.44	3.52
16.02	3.23
16.37	2.73
	14.31 14.33 15.44 16.02



### Expectations of Child Psychotherapy !!

Table 5
Significant findings concerning treatment objectives of parents and therapists

	153,COHCCITII	ng acamen	t objectives e	r parents an	d incrapia	,	
	Ther	apist	Pare	ent	P≤	t	df
	М	SD	M	SD			
Find out if child has a serious problem	1.82	1.08	2.70	1.18	.03	2.48	76
Relating to others	3.91	.30	3.43	.73	.05	2.46	76
Handle situations competently	3.91	.30	3.37	.70	.03	2.52	74
Normalization Sub-scale	12.00	3.63	14.31	3.34	.05	-2.09	74
Behavior Change Subscale	17.91	1.64	16.38	2.73	.02	2.55	73



#### References

- Byalin, K, (1993). Assessing Parental Satisfaction with children's Mental Health Services. Evaluation and Program Planning, 16, 69-71.
- Byalin, K. (1990). Parent empowerment: A Treatment strategy for hospitalized adolescents. Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 41, 89-90.
- Casey, R. J., & Berman, J.S. (1985). The outcome of psychotherapy with children.

  \*Psychological Bulletin, 98 (2), 388-400.
- Gould, M.S., Shaffer, D., & Kaplan, D. (1985). The characteristics of dropouts from a child psychiatry clinic. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 24, 316-328.
- Goyne, J. & Ladoux, P. (1973). Patients' opinions of outpatient clinic services.

  Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 24, 627-628.
- Soley, G., Marshall, R., & Chambliss, C. (1998) Training Therapists about Client Expectations of Psychotherapy, Resources in Education, ERIC/CASS CG028661.
- Sorenson, J., Kantor, L., Margolis, R., & Galano, J. (1979). The extent, nature and utility of evaluating consumer satisfaction in community mental health centers. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 7, 329-337.
- Spaniol, L., Jung, H., Zipple, A., & Fitzgerald, S. (1987). Families as a resource in rehabilitation of the severely psychiatrically disabled. In A. B. Hatfield & H. P. Lefley (eds.), Families of the mentally ill: Coping and adaptation (pp. 167-190). New York: Guilford Press.



- Spaniol, L., Zipple, A., & Fitzgerald, S. (1984). How professionals can share power with families: Practical approaches to working with families of the mentally ill. *Psychosocial Rehabilitation Journal*, 8(2), 77-84.
- Tanner, B.A., & Stacy, W. (1981). Factors influencing client satisfaction with mental health services: A review of quantitative research. Evaluation and Program Planning, 4, 279-286.
- Weiss, I., Rabinowitz, J., & Spiro, S. (1996). Agreement between therapists and clients in evaluating therapy and its outcomes: Literature review.

  \*\*Administration and Policy in Mental Health. 23, 493-511.
- Weisz, J.R., Weiss, B., & Langmeyer, D.B. (1987). Giving up on child psychotherapy: Who drops out? *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 55, 916-918.
- Wierzbicki, M., & Pekarik, G. (1993). A meta-analysis of psychotherapy dropout.

  Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 24,190-195.
- Williams, B. (1994). Patient satisfaction: A valid concept? Social Science and Medicine, 38 (4), 509-516.
- Woodard, S., Santa-Barbara, J., Levin, S., & Epstein, N. (1978). Aspects of consumer satisfaction with brief family therapy. Family Process, 17, 399-407.





### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

Title: Educating New Therapists about Differences Between Parer Therapists' Expectations of Child Psychotherapy	to and
Author(s): 50/ey, G., Hooper, Y., Marshell, R., + Chambliss,	<u> </u>
Company Source	Publication Date:

#### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4° x 6° film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_sample \_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

- Sanpi

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical),
but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here→ please

Organization/Address
Dept. 0

Signature

Dopt. of Psychology Ursinus college Collegeville, PA 194 Printed Name/Position/Title:

CathorineChambliss,Ph.D.,Chair,Psycholog

Telephone:

(610) 409 3000

610)489 0627

10/23/18

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are

Publisher/Distributor:					· · · · · · · ·
Idress:	***************************************	······································	***************************************		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
					•
rice:	*	•	****	*************************	***************************************
		***	<u> </u>	<u>e</u>	
V. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO CO	OPYRIGHT/R	EPRODUC	TION RI	GHTS H	OLDER:
f the right to grant reproduction release is held by s	someone other than t	he addressee, pl	ase provide	he appropria	ite name and
Name:					
	***************************************				
Address:			***************************************	······································	***************************************
****	•				
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FO	DM.				
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FO	RM:				
				;	
				: :	
		_		:	
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FO				:	<u> </u>

contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility** 

1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100 Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305

Telephone: 301-258-5500 Toll Free: 800-799-3742

、 / in the internal internal

h something An Maria Maria

EKIC1/96/96)